

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/058,214	LAMBERT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(2) Brett Slaney (Reg. No. 58,772).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 January 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*101 Rejections*

**Claims discussed:**

*11-12*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Kaveh Abrishamkar*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified the Applicant that the amendments to the claims did not overcome the 101 rejection, but otherwise, the rest of the claims 1-7, and 9-10 were allowable for the cited prior art. The Applicant agreed to cancel claims 11-12 to put the case in condition for allowance..